

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/669,847	OKANO, YOICHI	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Alexander Jamal	2643	

All Participants:
Status of Application: final rejection

 (1) Alexander Jamal.

(3) _____.

 (2) James E Howard (39715).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 12 July 2004
Time: 9:30am
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

election by original presentation

Claims discussed:

Claims 1-27

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Examiner called Mr. Howard and left a message on his machine stating that the previous office action (paper #13 mailed 3-2004) would be vacated and an additional Office action would be written.
Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)